UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM

MDL No. 2738 (FLW) (LHG)

POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY

LITIGATION

This document relates to: Annette Vega Mendoza

1:18-cv-02268

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this *Short Form Complaint and Demand* for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as required by Case Management Order No. 3 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long*Form Complaint, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

- Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder products: <u>Annette</u>
 <u>Vega Mendoza</u>.
- 2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of Will County, Illinois.
- 3. Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of consortium: Not applicable.
- 4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claim:
 - Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death: Not applicable.
- 5. Plaintiff/Decedent was born in 1971 and died on not applicable.
- 6. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the <u>Not applicable</u> of the <u>Not applicable</u>, having been duly appointed as the <u>Not applicable</u> by the <u>Not applicable</u> Court of <u>Not applicable</u>.
- 7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the

	use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the		
	following:		
	<u>X</u>	injury to herself	
		injury to the person represented	
		wrongful death	
		survivorship action	
	X	economic loss	
		loss of services	
		loss of consortium	
	<u>X</u>	other: non-economic loss, punitive damages and exemplary	
		damages	
	Identification of Defendants		
3.	Plaintiff(s)/	Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following Defendants	
	(please check all that apply) ¹ :		
	otag	Johnson & Johnson	
	abla	Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.	
		Imerys Talc America, Inc. ("Imerys Talc")	

¹ If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

	☐ Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC")			
	Additional Defendants:			
	☐ Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specific): Not applicable.			
	JURISDICTION & VENUE			
	Jurisdiction:			
9. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:				
	✓ Diversity of Citizenship			
	☐ Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must			
	be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of			
	Civil Procedure). Not applicable.			
	Venue:			
10.	District Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you			
	might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct			
	filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by			
	the Judicial Panel for trial: (1)United States District Court for the District of			
	Northern Illinois (filed in such court on March 29, 2018, and transferred to			
	MDL No. 2738 on May 16, 2018). (2) Alternatively, the United States			
	District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division.			

11. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): <u>Joliet, Illinois.</u>

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

- 12. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State): <u>Joliet, Illinois</u>.
- 13. The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder product(s) injury in (City, State): Maywood, Illinois on (date): May 2015, and was treated in Maywood, Illinois for the same.
- 14. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using talcum powder product(s) on or about the following date: 1982-1988 (irregular use); 1989 regularly-and-continued the use of talcum powder product(s) through about the following date: 2015. While the products were sometimes used on her as a child, the vast majority of consistent, regular usage occurred in Illinois as an adult.
- 15. The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the following (State(s)): <u>Illinois (approximately for 15 of those years) and Freeport, Texas.</u>
- 16. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:
 - ☑ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
 - ✓ Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long*Form Complaint and Jury Demand as if fully set forth herein.

18.	The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master Long Form		
	Complaint o	and Jury Demand are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):	
		Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)	
	\square	Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
		Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)	
	\square	Count IV: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
	\square	Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
	\square	Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
	\square	Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
		Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys)	
	\square	Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
		Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)	
	\square	Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	
	otan	Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)	

	Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)			
\square	Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of <u>Illinois</u> (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)			
	Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)			
\square	Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)			
	Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)			
\square	Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)			
	Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)			
abla	Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)			
Ø	Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)			
	Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)			
	Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)			
\square	Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional			
theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in				
Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) include additional theories of				
recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts				
and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a				

manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure. See Exhibit "A"

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for relief and judgement against

Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interests, costs of

suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set

forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

DATED: <u>June 12, 2018</u> Respectfully submitted by,

s/ Mark D. Clore

s/ Alisha S. Davenport

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF